UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case:2:20-cr-20279
Judge: Drain, Gershwin A.
MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A.
Filed: 06-25-2020 At 11:20 AM
INDI USA V. SEALED MATTER (DA)

v.

Violations:

D-1 JAYLEN MARCUS BROWN,

Defendant.

18 U.S.C. §§ 922(n), 924(a)(1)(D) 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(j), 924(a)(2)

INDICTMEN	\mathbf{T}

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

COUNT ONE

(18 U.S.C. §§ 922(n), 924(a)(1)(D) – Illegal Receipt of a Firearm by a Person Under Indictment)

D-1 JAYLEN MARCUS BROWN

Between on or about January 16, 2020 and on or about February 6, 2020, in the Eastern District of Michigan, the defendant JAYLEN MARCUS BROWN, willfully received a firearm while under indictment for a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year, said firearm being a .40 caliber Glock

Model 23 Pistol with Serial Number XYB123 and having been shipped and transported in interstate and foreign commerce, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(n) and 924(a)(1)(D).

COUNT TWO

(18 U.S.C. §§ 922(j), 924(a)(2) – Possession of a Stolen Firearm)

D-1 JAYLEN MARCUS BROWN

On or about February 6, 2020, in the Eastern District of Michigan, the defendant JAYLEN MARCUS BROWN, knowingly possessed a stolen firearm, that is, a .40 caliber Glock Model 23 Pistol with Serial Number XYB123, which had been shipped and transported in interstate and foreign commerce, knowing and having reasonable cause to believe the firearm was stolen, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(j) and 924(a)(2).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

The allegations contained in Counts One through Two of this Indictment are hereby incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(d), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).

Upon conviction of the offenses alleged in Counts One through Two of this Indictment, Defendant JAYLEN MARCUS BROWN shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(d) and Title 28, United

States Code, Section 2461(c), any firearm(s) and ammunition involved in or used in the knowing commission of the offense, including but not limited to: .40 caliber Glock Model 23 Pistol with Serial Number XYB123.

THIS IS A TRUE BILL.

s/ Grand Jury Foreperson
GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

MATTHEW SCHNEIDER United States Attorney

ERIC STRAUS
Chief, Violent & Organized Crime Unit

S/ Andrew R. Picek
Andrew R. Picek
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: June 25, 2020

United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Co	Case:2:20-cr-20279 Judge: Drain, Gershwin A. MJ: Stafford, Elizabeth A. Filed: 06-25-2020 At 11:20 AM		
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S.	Attorney signing this form to co	INDI USA V. S	SEALED MATTER (DA)	
Companion Case Informatio	0 77731	Companion Case	e Number:	
This may be a companion case based upo	n LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) ¹ :	Judge Assigned:	:	
□Yes ⊠No		AUSA's Initials:	AK	
Case Title: USA v. JAYLEN	MARCUS BROWN		· -	
County where offense occu	ı rred : <u>Wayne</u>			
Check One: ⊠Felony	□Miso	demeanor		
Indictment/Info	• •	orior complaint [Ca	ase number: 20-mj-30123] plete Superseding section below].	
Superseding Case Informatio	o n			
Superseding to Case No: Judge:				
Corrects errors; no additing Involves, for plea purpos Embraces same subject	es, different charges or a	dds counts.	or charges below:	
Defendant name	Cha	rges	Prior Complaint (if applicable)	
JAYLEN MARCUS BROWN 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(n), 924(a)(1)(D) 20-mj-30123 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(j), 924(a)(2)				
Please take notice that the below the above captioned case.	listed Assistant Unite	ed States Attor	ney is the attorney of record for	
June 25, 2020	July			
Date Andrew R. Picek Assistant United States Attorney				
211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2001				
Detroit, MI 48226-3277				
Phone: (313) 226-9652 Fax: (313) 226-5464				
E-Mail address: Andrew.Picek@usdoj.gov				
Attorney Bar #: OH0082121				

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.